

A. Kuhn & N. Lindow & T. Günther & A. Wiebel & H. Theisel & H.-C. Hege / Density-based Trajectory Rendering

a) side view of trajectories ($r_s = 0.01, e_f = 15$)

b) top view

Figure 6: *Beads example:* Density projection of 2D time-dependent aggregating cell model presented by Wiebel et al. [WCW*11]. The top row shows 5000 original trajectories, second row the ground truth core line structure (green), last row the density projection for $r_s = 0.01$ and $e_f = 15$.

A. Kuhn & N. Lindow & T. Günther & A. Wiebel & H. Theisel & H.-C. Hege / Density-based Trajectory Rendering



a) stream lines at time step 70 b) saddles & separation lines [WST*07] **a**) stream lines at time step 70 b) saddles & separation lines [WST*07] **b**) saddles & separation lines [WST*07] **c**) time step 10, $r_s = 0.15$, $e_f = 30$ d) time step 40 e) time step 80 **c**) time step 10, $r_s = 0.15$, $e_f = 30$ d) time step 40 e) time step 80 **c**) time step 10, $r_s = 0.15$, $e_f = 30$ d) time step 40 e) time step 80 **c**) time step 10, $r_s = 0.15$, $e_f = 30$ d) time step 40 e) time step 80 **c**) time step 10, $r_s = 0.15$, $e_f = 30$ d) time step 40 e) time step 80 **c**) time step 10, $r_s = 0.15$, $e_f = 30$ d) time step 40 e) time step 80 **c**) time step 10, $r_s = 0.15$, $e_f = 30$ d) time step 40 e) time step 80 **c**) time step 10, $r_s = 0.15$, $e_f = 30$ d) time step 40 e) time step 80 **c**) time step 10, $r_s = 0.15$, $e_f = 30$ d) time step 40 e) time step 80 **c**) time step 10, $r_s = 0.15$, $e_f = 30$ d) time step 40 e) time step 80 **c**) time step 10, $r_s = 0.15$, $e_f = 30$ d) time step 40 e) time step 80 **c**) time step 10, $r_s = 0.15$, $e_f = 30$ d) time step 40 e) time step 80 **c**) time step 10, $r_s = 0.15$, $e_f = 30$ d) time step 40 e) time step 80 **c**) time step 10, $r_s = 0.15$, $e_f = 30$ d) time step 40 e) time step 80 **c**) time step 10, $r_s = 0.15$, $e_f = 30$ d) time step 40 e) time step 80 **c**) time step 10, $r_s = 0.15$, $e_f = 30$ d) time step 40 e) time step 40 e) time step 80 **c**) time step 10, $r_s = 0.15$, $e_f = 30$ d) time step 40 e) time step 40 **c**) time step 10, $r_s = 0.15$, $e_f = 30$ d) time step 40 e) time step 40 **c**) time step 10, $r_s = 0.15$, $e_f = 30$ d) time step 40 **c**) time step 10, $r_s = 0.15$, $r_s = 0.15$, $e_f = 30$ d) time step 40 **c**) time step 10, $r_s = 0.15$, $r_s =$

f) time step 70, $r_s = 0.15$, $e_f = 5$

g) $r_s = 0.15, e_f = 15$

h) $r_s = 0.15, e_f = 30$

Figure 7: Borromean example: Density visualization of a time-dependent magnetic field describing the creation of two separate knot structures over time. The image shows the result for subtractive blending of 15.000 randomly seeded trajectories, integrated in forward and backward direction. Figure a) shows all field lines, b) extracted critical points and separation lines [WST*07] (note that the field contains only saddle type features), c) to d) show different time steps of the data set, f) to h) a variation of the falloff parameter e_f .

A. Kuhn & N. Lindow & T. Günther & A. Wiebel & H. Theisel & H.-C. Hege / Density-based Trajectory Rendering



Figure 8: Ocean example: 180×90 stream lines uniformly seeded within the ocean simulation data set at a depth of 100m (separated into forward and backward lines). The top row shows two time step 1 and 17 of 36 total time steps (3 steps per month) together with a LIC visualization, grey levels indicate temperature. The bottom row shows two close ups using additive blending (left) and subtractive blending together with the salinity field (see Figure 5, $r_s = 1.0$, $e_f = 5$).

A. Kuhn & N. Lindow & T. Günther & A. Wiebel & H. Theisel & H.-C. Hege / Density-based Trajectory Rendering



Figure 9: *Aneurysm example:* 30.000 stream lines randomly seeded within an simulated aneurysm blood flow. The top row shows the overall geometry, a zoomed view to the aneurysm region, and the density projection of the displayed curves (separated into forward and backward lines), for $r_s = 0.004$, $e_f = 30$. The bottom row shows separated forward and backward structures. The density projection shows compressed inflow area (blue) and attracting regions in the interior (red), while a more detailed interpretation requires additional feature descriptors (e.g., vortex criteria).